

# From Vesalius to Virtual Reality: A Short Review of Innovations in Anatomy Teaching

**Uma Pandalai\***

*MD, PhD, Special Instructor, Department of Biological Sciences, Oakland University, Rochester, MI, USA*

**\*Corresponding Author:** Uma Pandalai, MD, PhD, Special Instructor, Department of Biological Sciences, Oakland University, Rochester, MI, USA.

**Received:** September 19, 2025; **Published:** September 30, 2025

**DOI:** 10.55162/MCMS.09.320

## Abstract

Anatomy has always been a cornerstone of medical education, evolving alongside scientific discovery and pedagogical innovation. This review traces the historical trajectory of anatomy teaching, from the groundbreaking illustrations of Andreas Vesalius in the sixteenth century to the integration of virtual reality, digital platforms, and gamification in the twenty-first century. We examine the transition from traditional cadaveric dissection and static atlases to plastination, medical imaging, interactive software, and immersive technologies. By highlighting key milestones, this review underscores how anatomy has adapted to broader cultural and technological changes while maintaining its fundamental role in preparing healthcare professionals. The paper concludes with a discussion of future trends, including artificial intelligence and immersive virtual reality, and their potential to balance innovation with the timeless values of anatomical education.

**Keywords:** Vesalius; Anatomy education; Virtual reality; Medical imaging; Pedagogy; Innovations

## Introduction

Anatomy has long been considered the bedrock of medical training, underpinning clinical reasoning, surgical practice, and biomedical research (Drake et al., 2020). As medical education continues to evolve, so too have the strategies for teaching anatomy. The trajectory from Renaissance dissection halls to digital laboratories reflects not only advances in science and technology but also shifts in educational philosophy. This paper provides a concise review of innovations in anatomy teaching, tracing developments from Andreas Vesalius' sixteenth-century revolution to contemporary digital and virtual methodologies.

## Historical Foundations

The publication of Andreas Vesalius' *De Humani Corporis Fabrica* in 1543 marked a turning point in the history of anatomy (O'Malley, 1964). For the first time, the human body was systematically documented with detailed illustrations grounded in direct observation. This contrasted with reliance on Galenic tradition and established a culture of empirical evidence in medicine.

During the Renaissance and early modern periods, cadaveric dissection was central to anatomy teaching, often performed in public theaters that emphasized spectacle as well as learning (Carlino, 1999). Supplementary teaching tools included wax models, skeletons, and engravings, which provided durable references in an era when cadaver access was limited.

## Transition to Modern Methods

The nineteenth and twentieth centuries brought new stability to anatomy education, with the rise of formal medical schools and standardized curricula. Textbooks, such as those by Henry Gray and later Frank Netter, became indispensable tools for generations of students (Gray, 1858; Netter, 1989).

Cadaver dissection remained the “gold standard,” though innovations such as plastination, pioneered by Gunther von Hagens in the late twentieth century, introduced durable, odorless specimens for teaching (von Hagens et al., 1987).

The advent of medical imaging transformed anatomy education further. Radiographs, followed by CT and MRI scans, allowed students to correlate gross anatomy with living anatomy, bridging dissection with clinical application (McLachlan & Patten, 2006).

## Contemporary Innovations

In recent decades, anatomy education has expanded into new modalities:

- Virtual dissection tables (e.g., Anatomage) allow students to explore 3D reconstructions of human bodies without the need for cadavers (Bergman et al., 2020).
- Digital anatomy apps such as Visible Body and Complete Anatomy provide interactive atlases accessible on tablets and smartphones, enhancing flexibility in learning.
- Integration of radiology into teaching aligns anatomy with clinical practice, reinforcing its relevance to diagnosis and surgery (Estai & Bunt, 2016).
- E-learning platforms, podcasts, and YouTube channels democratize access to anatomy resources, often appealing to diverse learning styles (Evans & Pawlina, 2021).
- Gamification and escape rooms foster active learning by embedding anatomical knowledge in problem-solving and teamwork exercises (Cotterill, 2015).

## Virtual Reality and Future Directions

Immersive technologies, including virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR), are rapidly reshaping anatomy teaching. Tools such as the Microsoft HoloLens allow layered visualization of organs, vasculature, and tissues in 3D, offering interactive, immersive experiences that can complement traditional methods (Moro et al., 2017).

Artificial intelligence holds further potential to create adaptive learning environments, tailoring anatomy instruction to individual student needs. However, debates remain regarding cost, accessibility, and the irreplaceable value of cadaveric dissection in cultivating professional identity and respect for the human body (Fitzgerald et al., 2008).

## Conclusion

From Vesalius’ illustrated *Fabrica* to contemporary VR platforms, anatomy teaching has continuously reinvented itself in response to scientific, cultural, and technological change. Each innovation has broadened the ways in which students engage with the human body while reinforcing anatomy’s enduring centrality to medical education. The challenge moving forward is to integrate emerging technologies responsibly, ensuring that innovation enhances rather than replaces the foundational experiences of anatomy learning.

## References

1. Bergman EM, Prince KJAH and Drukker J. “Virtual dissection: New tools for learning anatomy”. *Medical Teacher* 42.4 (2020): 456-462.
2. Carlino A. “Books of the Body: Anatomical Ritual and Renaissance Learning”. University of Chicago Press (1999).

3. Cotterill S. "Gamification and student engagement in higher education". *Interactive Learning Environments* 23.5 (2015): 1-13.
4. Drake RL, et al. "Medical education in the anatomical sciences: The past, the present, and the future". *Anatomical Sciences Education* 13.5 (2020): 493-501.
5. Estai M and Bunt S. "Best teaching practices in anatomy education: A critical review". *Annals of Anatomy* 208 (2016): 151-157.
6. Evans DJR and Pawlina W. "Effects of podcasting on learning anatomy: A review". *Anatomical Sciences Education* 14.3 (2021): 307-316.
7. Fitzgerald JEF, et al. "Are we teaching sufficient anatomy at medical school? The opinions of newly qualified doctors". *Clinical Anatomy* 21.7 (2008): 718-724.
8. Gray H. "Anatomy: Descriptive and Surgical". London: John W. Parker and Son (1858).
9. McLachlan JC and Patten D. "Anatomy teaching: ghosts of the past, present and future". *Medical Education* 40.3 (2006): 243-253.
10. Moro C, et al. "The effectiveness of virtual and augmented reality in health sciences and medical anatomy". *Anatomical Sciences Education* 10.6 (2017): 549-559.
11. Netter FH. "Atlas of Human Anatomy". Ciba-Geigy (1989).
12. O'Malley CD. "Andreas Vesalius of Brussels, 1514-1564". University of California Press (1964).
13. von Hagens G, Tiedemann K and Kriz W. "The current potential of plastination". *Anatomy and Embryology* 175.4 (1987): 411-421.

**Volume 9 Issue 4 October 2025**

**© All rights are reserved by Uma Pandalai.**