

## Evaluation of Different Spacing and Demonstration of *Becium Grandflorum* /“Tebeb”/ Bee Forage in South Omo Zone, Southern Ethiopia

**Bizuayehu Ayele\* and Adisu Tadele**

*Southern Agricultural Research Institute, Jinka Agricultural Research Center, Jinka Ethiopia*

**\*Corresponding Author:** Bizuayehu Ayele, Southern Agricultural Research Institute, Jinka Agricultural Research Center, Jinka Ethiopia.

**Received:** April 21, 2022; **Published:** May 11, 2022

### Abstract

The study was conducted in South Omo Zone Benatsemayworedachalikebele from 2019-2021 G.C in the title “Evaluation of different spacing and demonstration of *Becium grandflorum* /“Tebeb”/ bee forage in South Omo Zone, Ethiopia” with the objective of evaluate the effect of different spacing on canopy cover, plant height, branch number and flower number and adaptability of *Becium grandflorum* bee forage. *Becium grandflorum* cutting laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications by four different spacing (2m, 2.5m, 3m and 3.5m). The record parameter results were plant height 1.11m, 0.99m, 1.24m and 1.27m with treatments 2m, 2.5m, 3m and 3.5m spacing respectively, number of branches per plant were 17.33, 13.33, 20 and 21.66 with treatments respectively, canopy cover were 1.39m, 1.29m, 1.7m and 1.5m with treatments respectively and number of flowers per plant were 15567, 14043, 19753 and 20083 with treatments respectively were recorded. All parameters such as plant height, number of branches per plant, canopy cover and number of flowers per plant were not statistically significance ( $p < 0.05$ ). The highest flower number, branches per plant and plant height was recorded in treatment 3.5m whereas the lowest flower number, branch per plant, plant height and canopy cover was recorded in treatment 2.5m. However the recorded parameter results were not statistically significance treatment 3.5m and treatment 3m spacing were recommended for *Becium grandflorum* cutting plantation with sufficient soil moisture and good soil condition.

**Keywords:** *Becium grandflorum*; DebubOmo; flower number; spacing

### Introduction

Beekeeping is entirely depending on the types of flowering plants available in any given area. Honeybees need flowering plants for nectar and pollen as source of food and flowering plants need honeybees for pollination. Plants are primary producers in terrestrial ecosystems and direct providers of many ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration, prevention of soil erosion, nitrogen fixation, maintenance of water tables, greenhouse gas absorption, and food and habitat providers for most other terrestrial and many aquatic life forms [4]. There is a need to understand honeybee plant relationship to study food preferences of honeybees and pollination requirement. Ethiopia has diverse ecological zones ranging from very humid to very arid zones. These zones have varying beekeeping potential most of which is unexploited [5].

*Becium grandiflorum* is a drought tolerant species endemic to the highlands of Ethiopia and Eritrea [5]. It is an indigenous perennial aromatic shrub and grows in highlands and mid altitude areas. It is locally known as Tebeb (Tigrigna) or Mentiesie (Amharic) [7]. Honey bees visit flowers of *Becium grandiflorum* plant for collecting the pollen and/o nectar. The colour of the honey is creamy white and granulates rapidly [7]. Because of its attractive colour and also light to taste, the honey is preferred by many consumers. *Becium*

*grandiflorum* honey bee forage plant could be propagated through different methods like sowing seed, seedlings, air layering, ground layering and cuttings [7]. Cutting propagation method had better performance related to number of flowers, canopy cover, and seed yield performance [7].

Loss of honeybee forage plants has negative implications for beekeepers which mean loss of bee forage, loss of nesting sites for bees, loss of places to keep hives and low honey production.

In South omo zone, there is a decreasing trend in number of colonies, production and productivity due to decreasing trends of available honey bee forages [1, 2].

Therefore the objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of different spacing on canopy cover, plant height, branch number and flower number as well as adaptability and demonstration of *Becium grandiflorum* bee forage to the study area.

## Materials and methods

### Description of study area

The experimental study was conducted in South Omo Zone Benatsemayworeda Chali Kebel. Generally, the altitude of the woreda ranges between 600-1500 m.a.s.l. Its astronomical locations are 5.01° N -5.73° N latitudinal and 36.38° E -37.07° E longitudinally. There are three major agro ecologies namely bereha, kola and woynadega 5%, 81.3 and 13.7% found in the woreda respectively. The mean annual RF ranges between 800-1300mm and the mean annual temperature ranges between 18-38°C. The woreda has an animal resource with an estimated of about 459,779 cattle, 146,868 sheep, 741,237 goats, 97205 poultry local and improved, 28877 equines, and 32500 bee colonies.

### Treatments and experimental design

The treatments were laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD). The treatments were four different spacing with similar inter-row spacing 2m, 2.5m, 3m, and 3.5m, and replicated each treatment three times (4×3) making a total of twelve (12) plots with three meter between each plot. The spacing for the treatments was assigned to be T1, T2, T3, and T4 with a plant spacing of 2m×2m, 2.5m×2.5m, 3m×3m, and 3.5m×3.5m, respectively.

The planting material was 40 cm similar size *Becium grandiflorum* cuttings were used and planting the cuttings with 450 during starting of rainy season when there was sufficient moisture in the soil. Within each plant spacing six *Becium grandiflorum* cuttings per plot and seventy two cuttings were planted on twelve plots.

### Data recording

#### Plant height

All survived plants from each plot were taken and the height of the plant was measured from the ground to the top end of the longest branch using a measuring meter. All the required plant height was taken from all plants in each plot and the mean height of the plants was calculated by the sum of all plants dividing by all plants in each plot separately.

#### Canopy cover

$$C.C = (D1 + D2)/2$$

Where D1 is diameter of the plant toward the larger coverage direction, D2 is diameter of the plant towards the small coverage direction and C.C – is canopy cover of the plant in m. And then the canopy cover of the plant was expressed in terms of the average diameter in meter.

### **Number of branches per plant**

The number of branches per plant was calculated by counting the number of main branches from all plants within the plot, and the mean number of branches was taken by dividing the total number of branches in each plant by total plant.

### **Number of flowers per plant**

The number of flowers per plant was also calculated by counting flower head and flowers per flower head from each plant within the plot then multiplied, and the mean number of a flower was recorded by dividing the total sum of each plants flower number by all plants in each plot.

$$T.N.F = N.F.H * N.F$$

Where T.N,F – total number of flowers per plant, N.F.H- Number of Flower Head per plant and N.F- Number of flowers per head of flowers.

### **Statistical data management and analysis**

The collected data and measured at the study site was properly recorded and arranged using excel. One-way ANOVA was used to analyze the data, and correlation analysis was applied for specific variables using SPSS Version 20.

## **Result and Discussion**

### **Effect of spacing on *Becium grandflorum* plant height**

The result of variance analysis showed that there was different results recorded among treatments, those were treatment one(T1) 2mx2m average *Becium grandflorum* plant height was 1.11m, treatment two(T2) 2.5mx2.5m average *Becium grandflorum* plant height was 0.99m, treatment three(T3) 3mx3m average *Beciumgrandflorum* plant height was 1.24m and treatment four(T4) 3.5mx-3.5m average *Becium grandflorum* plant height was 1.27m. Even though there were different plant height results between treatments statistically there was no significance difference among treatments shown (Table 1).

### **Effect of spacing on *Becium grandflorum* branches per plant**

The result of variance analysis showed that there was different results recorded among treatments, those were treatment one (T1) 2mx2m average *Becium grandflorum* branches per plant was 17.33, treatment two (T2) 2.5mx2.5m average *Becium grandflorum* branches per plant was 13.33, treatment three (T3) 3mx3m average *Becium grandflorum* branches per plant was 20 and treatment four (T4) 3.5mx3.5m average *Becium grandflorum* branches per plant was 21.66. Even though there were different branches per plant results between treatments, statistically there was no significance difference among treatments shown (Table 1).

### **Effect of spacing on *Beciumgrandflorum* canopy cover**

The result of variance analysis showed that there was different results recorded among treatments, those were treatment one (T1) 2mx2m average *Becium grandflorum* canopy cover was 1.39 diameter, treatment two (T2) 2.5mx2.5m average *Becium grandflorum* canopy cover was 1.29 diameter, treatment three (T3) 3mx3m average *Becium grandflorum* canopy cover was 1.7 diameter and treatment four (T4) 3.5mx3.5m average *Becium grandflorum* canopy cover was 1.51 diameter. Even though there were different canopy cover results between treatments statistically there was no significance difference among treatments shown (Table 1).

### **Effect of spacing on *Becium grandflorum* number of flowers per plant**

Producing number of flowers for honeybee used as pollen and nectar sources were the ultimate goal of this research. Though the result of variance analysis showed that there was different flower number results recorded among treatments, those were treatment

one (T1) 2mx2m average *Becium grandflorum* produced flower number was 15567, treatment two (T2) 2.5mx2.5m average *Becium grandflorum* produced flower number was 14043, treatment three (T3) 3mx3m average *Becium grandflorum* produced flower number was 19753 and treatment four (T4) 3.5mx3.5m average *Becium grandflorum* produced flower number was 20083. Even though there were different flower numbers produced between treatments statistically there was no significance difference among treatments shown (Table 1).

Treatments	Dependent variables.				
	PH	NPP	BPP	CC	NFP
2M	1.1100	5.6666	17.33	1.39	15567
2.5M	0.9933	6.0000	13.33	1.29	14043
3M	1.2400	5.6666	20	1.70	19753
3.5M	1.2733	5.3333	21.66	1.51	20083
ESM	0.1455	0.45	4.70	0.17	4562.1
LSD	0.3560	1.1	11.51	0.42	11163
CV	15.44	9.75	31.87	14.49	32.18

CV= coefficient of variance, LSD= least significance difference, ESM= error of mean square, PH= plant height, NPP= number of plants per plot, BPP= branches per plant, CC= canopy cover and NFP= number of flowers per plant.

**Table 1:** statistical mean comparison of plant height, number of plants per plot, branches per plant, canopy cover and number of flowers per plant.

**The correlation between plant height, branch number, canopy cover and flower number**

The relationship between plant height, branch numbers, canopy cover and flower number were investigated using a simple correlation coefficient. There was a strong positive correlation between the number of branches and plant height, number of branches and canopy cover, number of branches and number of flowers per plant, plant height and canopy cover, plant height and number of flowers per plant and canopy cover and number of flowers per plant ( $r = + .841$ ), ( $r = + .593$ ), ( $r = + .965$ ), ( $r = + .657$ ), ( $r = + .727$ ) and ( $r = + .579$ ) respectively shown as (Table 2). These research results of correlation coefficient of branch number with number of flowers were strong positive correlation also similar with [3, 6 and 7] results of similar honey bee forage species.

	Branch	Height	Canopy	Flower number
Branch	1	.841**	.593*	.965**
Height		1	.657*	.727**
Canopy cover			1	.579*
Flower number				1

\*\*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

\*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

**Table 2:** The correlation between plant height, branch number, canopy cover and flower number.



**Figure 1:** *Becium grandiflorum* bee forage plant flower.



**Figure 2:** *Becium grandiflorum* bee forage plant branches.

## Conclusion and recommendation

*Becium grandiflorum* bee forage could be adapted in the study area and similar agro ecologies, with sufficient of moisture could produce flowers for long period of time and the flower was highly preferable by honey bees for both pollen and nectar sources. From the research result there were more flower number produced in treatment 3.5m and 3m. Even though there were different results recorded with in different parameters, parameters such as plant height, number of branches per plant, canopy cover and number of flowers per plant were not statistically significance ( $p < 0.05$ ). There was a strong positive correlation between each parameter.

*Becium grandiflorum* bee forage cutting could be planted 3m by 3m and 3.5m by 3.5m spacing during moisture sufficient and good soil condition to produce many more flowers for honey bees as pollen and nectar sources. Carrying capacity of *Becium grandiflorum* bee forage also investigated.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Southern Agricultural Research Institute AGP II for financial support.

## References

1. Adisu Tadele., et al. "Assessment of Honey Bee Production System, Honey Bee Flora and Poisonous Plants, Post-Harvest Handling and Marketing of Honey in South Omo Zone of SNNPR of Ethiopia". Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare 6 (2016): 13.

2. Bizuayehu A and Adisu T. “Assessment of post-harvest handling and quality of honeybee products along the value chain in SNN-PR, Ethiopia”. International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science 3.2 (2021): 28-35.
3. Dinku N, Sileshi Y and Afework B. “Effect of spacing on the performance of native honeybee forage, *Beciumgrandflorum* at Remeda station Sidama National Regional State, Ethiopia”. Global journal of Animal science research (2021).
4. FAO. “Global Forest Resources Assessment 2000–main report”. FAO Forestry, Rome 140 (2001).
5. Fichtl R and Admasu A. Honeybee flora of Ethiopia. The national herbarium, Addis Ababa university, DeutscherEntwicklungsdienst (DED). Margrafverlag, Germany (1994).
6. Haftom G and Tesfay B. “Determining suitable size of stem cutting for propagating *Beciumgrandflorum*” Livestock Research for Rural Development 24 .10 (2012).
7. Haftom G and Kibebew W. “Identification and evaluation of propagation techniques of a native bee forage, ‘tebeb’ (*Beciumgrandflorum*)”. Livestock Research for Rural Development 25.1 (2013).

**Volume 2 Issue 6 June 2022**

**© All rights are reserved by Bizuayehu Ayele., et al.**