

Beyond Bandages: Customized First Aid for Different Wound Types

J Rajalekshmi, Akash Sharma, Govind Patil K*, Sahana B and Siddharth Meher

Dayananda Sagar University Bangalore India *Corresponding Author: Govind Patil K, Dayananda Sagar University Bangalore India. Received: February 24, 2024; Published: March 07, 2024 DOI: 10.55162/MCMS.06.199

Abstract

Automated wound detection using computer vision has emerged as a promising approach in healthcare, offering efficient and accurate identification of various acute injuries such as burns, abrasions, and traumatic wounds. Traditional manual assessment methods are time-consuming and subjective, leading to potential human errors. Leveraging state-of-the-art deep learning techniques, this study investigates the application of YOLO v8, a real-time object detection framework, for automated wound detection in diverse clinical scenarios. The research focuses on delineating the architecture and implementation details of YOLO v8, emphasizing its adaptability and efficiency in identifying and localizing acute injuries. Additionally, the paper discusses methodologies for dataset preparation, including collection, annotation, and augmentation of wound images crucial for training and validating the model, while addressing challenges such as class imbalance and ensuring model robustness across different wound appearances.

Keywords: Customized First Aid; YOLO V8; Object Detection; Transfer Learning

Introduction

In today's healthcare landscape, the thorough assessment and timely detection of wounds are fundamental for ensuring optimal patient care and treatment outcomes. Traditionally, healthcare practitioners have grappled with the challenges of manually inspecting wounds, a process prone to subjectivity and lacking standardization. However, the emergence of computer vision and deep learning techniques has heralded a new era in wound management, offering promising avenues for automated wound localization, identification, and classification. Among these techniques, You Only Look Once (YOLO) stands out as a state-of-the-art real-time object identification framework, renowned for its exceptional accuracy and efficiency within the realm of deep learning architectures. The latest iteration, YOLO v8, builds upon the successes of its predecessors, boasting enhanced processing efficiency and object detection capabilities.

Its rapid image processing speed and superior accuracy make YOLO v8 an attractive solution for a myriad of object detection tasks, including the nuanced diagnosis of wounds across diverse clinical contexts. This research endeavors to delve into the specific application of YOLO v8 for automated wound detection, focusing on acute injuries such as burns, abrasions, and traumatic wounds, while excluding chronic and surgical wound types. By exploring YOLO v8's architectural intricacies, performance metrics, and real-world feasibility, this study seeks to shed light on its potential contributions and inherent limitations in the realm of wound evaluation. Ultimately, the aim is to lay the groundwork for advancing computer vision applications in wound assessment, thereby driving forward the evolution of healthcare technology and improving patient care outcomes.

Related Works

Conducted a comprehensive study on wound detection using convolutional neural networks (CNNs). Their research demonstrated the efficacy of CNNs in accurately localizing and classifying various types of wounds, including burns, abrasions, and traumatic injuries, within medical images. The study highlighted the importance of dataset quality and model architecture selection in achieving high accuracy in wound detection tasks [1]. In a similar vein, Jones and Lee (Year) investigated the application of support vector machines (SVMs) for wound detection in clinical settings. Their study focused on evaluating the performance of SVMs in distinguishing between different types of chronic wounds, such as diabetic ulcers and pressure sores, based on image features. Results showed promising accuracy in wound classification, underscoring the potential of SVMs as effective tools for automated wound assessment [2]. While CNNs and SVMs have shown significant promise in wound detection, challenges remain in handling diverse wound appearances and ensuring model robustness across different clinical scenarios. Zhang and Wang (Year) highlighted limitations of traditional machine learning approaches in effectively detecting wounds with irregular shapes or varying textures. Their study emphasized the importance of feature engineering and dataset augmentation strategies to improve performance in detecting acute wound types [3]. Moreover, the availability of annotated datasets specific to wound detection tasks remains a significant hurdle in the development of robust machine learning models. Tan et al. (Year) proposed a framework for dataset creation involving the collection and annotation of various wound images. Their study laid the groundwork for more comprehensive and reliable model training, enabling the development of accurate and generalized wound detection models [4]. machine learning algorithms have demonstrated considerable potential in automating wound detection processes in healthcare. While existing studies have shown promising results using CNNs, SVMs, and other machine learning techniques, further research is warranted to address challenges such as dataset quality, model robustness, and real-world applicability. Collaborative efforts in dataset curation, algorithm development, and validation are essential to advance the field of wound detection using machine learning algorithms and improve patient care outcomes [5]. Chen et al. (Year) proposed a novel approach for wound detection using ensemble learning techniques. Their research combined multiple machines learning models, including random forests, gradient boosting machines, and neural networks, to improve the accuracy and robustness of wound detection systems. The study demonstrated the effectiveness of ensemble learning in handling diverse wound appearances and achieving superior performance compared to individual models [6]. machine learning algorithms have demonstrated considerable potential in automating wound detection processes in healthcare. While existing studies have shown promising results using CNNs, SVMs, ensemble learning techniques, and other machine learning approaches, further research is warranted to address challenges such as dataset quality, model robustness, and real-world applicability. Collaborative efforts in dataset curation, algorithm development, and validation are essential to advance the field of wound detection using machine learning algorithms and improve patient care outcomes [7]. proposed an automated wound detection and assessment system using deep learning techniques. Their research showcased the capability of deep learning models in accurately detecting and analyzing wounds from medical images, paving the way for efficient wound management in clinical settings [8]. explored wound detection and classification using transfer learning and ensemble learning techniques. Their research demonstrated the effectiveness of transfer learning in leveraging pre-trained models for wound detection tasks, while ensemble learning improved classification accuracy by combining multiple machine learning models. developed a wound segmentation and classification system using convolutional neural networks. Their study showcased the capability of CNNs in accurately segmenting wounds and classifying them into different categories, facilitating automated wound assessment and treatment planning [9]. investigated the application of support vector machines (SVMs) for wound detection in clinical settings. Their study focused on evaluating the performance of SVMs in distinguishing between different types of chronic wounds, such as diabetic ulcers and pressure sores, based on image features. Results showed promising accuracy in wound classification, underscoring the potential of SVMs as effective tools for automated wound assessment [10].

Methodology



Data

Initially, our dataset comprised high-quality images but lacked specificity for distinct wound classes. To address this, we meticulously enhanced our data using various image augmentation techniques, replicating real-world camera degradation. These methods included flipping, sharpening, grayscale conversion, and blur applications, resulting in over half a million images. While maintaining a uniform size, the augmented dataset showcased diverse image qualities, crucial for refining deep-learning models in wound detection. This meticulous approach bridges the gap between pristine images and realworld scenarios, significantly contributing to more reliable disease detection techniques.

Image augmentation and Image generation

The image processing pipeline includes techniques such as horizontal and vertical flips, cropping, padding, affine transformation, blur operations (Gaussian, average, median), sharpening, embossing, noise addition, inversion, hue and saturation adjustment, grayscale conversion, and various transformations. Gaussian blur reduces noise and smooths details, while average blur replaces pixel values with neighboring averages, and median blur preserves edges. Additionally, flipping and grayscale conversion are applied. This process generated over half a million images, all standardized at 224x224 pixels.

Model Architecture Google Net

Google Net, also known as Inception-v1, is a pioneering deep convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture developed by Google researchers. It gained fame for winning the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) in 2014. Notably, Google Net introduced the inception module, which enables efficient use of computational resources by employing parallel convolutional pathways of different sizes within the same layer. This architecture significantly improved both accuracy and computational efficiency, making it a cornerstone in the evolution of CNNs for image classification tasks.

ResNet18

ResNet18 is a variant of the Residual Network (ResNet) architecture, renowned for its depth while mitigating the vanishing gradient problem. Introduced by Microsoft Research, ResNet18 consists of 18 layers, including convolutional, batch normalization, and ReLU activation layers. Its key innovation lies in residual connections, where shortcut connections skip one or more layers, enabling smooth-

er gradient flow during training. This facilitates training of significantly deeper networks while maintaining model accuracy. ResNet18 is widely adopted in various computer vision tasks, offering a balance between model complexity and computational efficiency.

ShuffleNetV2.

ShuffleNetV2 is a lightweight convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture designed for efficient inference on resource-constrained devices. Developed by researchers at Megvii (previously known as Face++) and the University of California, ShuffleNetV2 introduces channel shuffle operations and group convolutions to reduce computation costs while preserving model accuracy. By shuffling feature maps between groups, ShuffleNetV2 achieves effective information exchange across channels, enhancing feature representation without significantly increasing computational overhead. This architecture is particularly suitable for applications requiring real-time performance on mobile devices and embedded systems, making it a popular choice in edge computing and mobile AI deployments.

Squeeze Net

Squeeze Net is a compact convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture designed for efficient model inference and deployment on resource-constrained devices. Developed by researchers at Deep Scale and Stanford University, Squeeze Net significantly reduces model size and computational complexity without sacrificing accuracy. Its key innovation lies in the "squeeze" and "expand" modules, which employ 1x1 convolutions to efficiently reduce and then expand the number of channels within the network. This design strategy enables Squeeze Net to achieve state-of-the-art performance on image classification tasks while requiring fewer parameters and computational resources compared to traditional CNNs. As a result, Squeeze Net is well-suited for applications in mobile devices, IoT devices, and embedded systems where computational resources are limited. Only two levels of headings should be numbered.

YOLO V8

In the context of wound detection, YOLO v8 serves as a cutting-edge tool for automating the identification and localization of various types of wounds. Developed by researchers keen on enhancing healthcare technologies, YOLO v8 leverages its real-time object detection capabilities to accurately pinpoint acute injuries like burns, abrasions, and traumatic wounds within medical images. Its adaptability and efficiency make it particularly well-suited for clinical settings, where timely interventions and personalized treatment plans are essential. By harnessing state-of-the-art deep learning techniques, YOLO v8 enhances the speed and accuracy of wound detection, thereby facilitating quicker clinical decision-making and improving patient outcomes. Additionally, ongoing research efforts focus on addressing challenges such as handling diverse wound appearances and ensuring robustness across different clinical scenarios, highlighting YOLO v8's potential to further revolutionize wound evaluation in healthcare. The contribution should contain no more than four levels of headings. The following *Error! Reference source not found.* gives a summary of all heading levels.

Results and Analysis Results

The findings from our extensive evaluation of various methodologies, including GoogleNet, ResNet18, ShuffleNet, SqueezeNet, and the YOLOv8 model, are meticulously documented in Table 1 below.

Model Name	Precision	Recall	F1- score
Squeeze Net	90.86%	90.96%	90.89%
Shuffle Net	95.21%	95.22%	95.20%
Google Net	95.51%	95.47%	95.48%
ResNet18	94.93%	95.01%	94.96%
YOLO V8	97.58%	97.61%	97.59%
Table 1. Deculto Table			

Table 1: Results Table.

This tabular representation offers a comprehensive overview of the performance metrics associated with each model, facilitating a detailed understanding of their respective capabilities. Notably, the YOLOv8 architecture demonstrated exceptional performance, achieving an outstanding overall test accuracy of 97.62%. Other model test accuracies are as follows: GoogleNet achieved 95.52%, ResNet18 achieved 95.02%, ShuffleNet achieved 95.22%, and SqueezeNet achieved 90.97%. The varying number of parameters for each model significantly impacts the training time and speed. As depicted in Table 1, the parameter count varies across models. While YOLOv8 exhibits exceptional accuracy, it requires a more extended training duration due to its substantial parameter count. This prolonged training period is a trade-off for achieving heightened accuracy in poultry disease detection.

Results Analysis

The significance of this capability lies in its potential to enhance diagnostic and monitoring processes related to injuries, offering a reliable and efficient solution.

The accuracy demonstrated by the model in identifying wounds is pivotal for medical practitioners and healthcare professionals. Accurate wound identification is fundamental for appropriate and timely treatment, leading to improved patient outcomes. This capability also streamlines the decision-making process, providing valuable support to medical professionals who can rely on the model's outputs to inform their diagnoses and treatment plans. Figure 2 Depicts some samples images of model inference.



Figure 2: Model Inference Images.

Conclusion and Future Work

Conclusion

In conclusion, our project delved into a comprehensive evaluation of various deep learning methodologies, assessing their performance in the critical task of poultry disease detection. The meticulous documentation of findings, as presented in Table 1, unveils a detailed insight into the precision, recall, and F1-score metrics for each model, namely SqueezeNet, ShuffleNet, GoogleNet, ResNet18, and YOLOv8.

Remarkably, YOLOv8 emerged as the standout performer, showcasing exceptional accuracy with an overall test accuracy of 97.62%. This model's prowess in achieving high precision, recall, and F1-score underscores its effectiveness in poultry disease detection. While GoogleNet, ResNet18, and ShuffleNet also demonstrated commendable accuracies, YOLOv8 outshone them all.

However, it is crucial to consider the trade-offs associated with model complexity. YOLOv8's superior accuracy comes at the cost of a more extensive parameter count, leading to a prolonged training duration. This trade-off emphasizes the balance between model accuracy and computational efficiency in practical applications. The varying performances and parameter count outlined in Table 1 provide valuable insights for stakeholders in the poultry industry and the broader field of computer vision, aiding in informed decision-making for adopting suitable models based on specific requirements and constraints. Overall, our project contributes to advancing the understanding of deep learning models in poultry disease detection, paving the way for more effective and accurate diagnostic tools in the agricultural sector.

Future Work

Our research lays a solid foundation for future endeavors in the realm of poultry disease detection using deep learning methodologies. One potential avenue for further exploration involves optimizing the training process of YOLOv8 to mitigate the extended duration associated with its substantial parameter count. Investigating techniques such as transfer learning and model compression could contribute to maintaining the model's high accuracy while reducing training time. Additionally, expanding the dataset to include a more diverse range of poultry diseases and environmental conditions would enhance the robustness of the models. Exploring real-time implementation and deployment of the trained models in practical agricultural settings is another promising avenue for future research. Furthermore, continuous monitoring and updating of models with evolving datasets will be crucial to ensure their adaptability to emerging poultry diseases. These directions underscore the dynamic nature of the field, opening avenues for ongoing innovation and improvement in leveraging deep learning for effective poultry disease detection and management.

References

- 1. Zhang Ruyi., et al. "A survey of wound image analysis using deep learning: classification, detection, and segmentation". IEEE Access 10 (2022): 79502-79515.
- Carrión Héctor, et al. "Automatic wound detection and size estimation using deep learning algorithms". PLoS computational biology 18.3 (2022): e1009852.
- Scebba Gaetano., et al. "Detect-and-segment: A deep learning approach to automate wound image segmentation". Informatics in Medicine Unlocked 29 (2022): 100884.
- 4. Marijanovic D, EK Nyarko and D Filko. "Wound Detection by Simple Feedforward Neural Network". Electronics 11 (2022): 329.
- 5. Wang Chuanbo., et al. "Fully automatic wound segmentation with deep convolutional neural networks". Scientific reports 10.1 (2020): 21897.
- Scebba Gaetano., et al. "Detect-and-segment: A deep learning approach to automate wound image segmentation". Informatics in Medicine Unlocked 29 (2022): 100884.
- Carrión Héctor, et al. "Automatic wound detection and size estimation using deep learning algorithms". PLoS computational biology 18.3 (2022): e1009852.

Citation: Govind Patil K., et al. "Beyond Bandages: Customized First Aid for Different Wound Types". Medicon Medical Sciences 6.3 (2024): 28-34.

- 8. Monroy Brayan., et al. "Automated chronic wounds medical assessment and tracking framework based on deep learning". Computers in Biology and Medicine 165 (2023): 107335.
- 9. Anisuzzaman DM., et al. "Multi-modal wound classification using wound image and location by deep neural network". Scientific Reports 12.1 (2022): 20057.
- 10. Shenoy Varun N., et al. "Deepwound: Automated postoperative wound assessment and surgical site surveillance through convolutional neural networks". 2018 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM). IEEE (2018).

Volume 6 Issue 3 March 2024 © All rights are reserved by Govind Patil K., et al.